Introduction

The efficiency (counting rate/activity) for α-particle sources measured using detection systems with 2π counting geometry can deviate significantly from 50%, due to the backscattering in the source backing and to the scattering and self-absorption into the source substrate. In general, the dependence of the efficiency with source thickness was in good agreement with a simple model considering a linear and a hyperbolic behavior for thin and thick sources, respectively, although significant deviations from this model were found for very thin sources. For these very thin sources, the Monte Carlo simulation revealed to be as a required method in the primary calibration of α-particle sources. The efficiency results obtained by simulation with AlfaMC were in agreement with available efficiency data.
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of the Monte Carlo codes are generalist, so that the computational charge to simulate specifically the α-particle transport is very high, resulting in very slow calculations. AlfaMC is specific for α-particle transport, so that it uses more simple models, allowing also the implementation of complex geometries. As a consequence, all its calculations are more rapid with respect to more complex codes. The transport of the α-particles is based on the continuous slowing down approximation (CSDA), with no secondary particles being produced. The average energy losses per unit length are calculated by taking into account the stopping powers supplied by the NIST ASTAR database [17], and the energy straggling is considered to be a simple Gaussian process, although a more detailed model, taking into consideration the Landau and Vavilov theory [18], can also be included in the simulations. The code adopts the theory of Fermi to describe the multiple scattering of α-particles, which is a valid approximation in the energy range from 1 to 12 MeV.

Simulation procedure

A simulation of α-particles in deposits of 235UO2 mounted on a highly polished platinum backing was performed. The sources have an active area of 1.267 cm² (12.7 mm diameter), and are assumed to be measured in a 2π detection system (see Fig. 1). The characteristics of these sources correspond to the same considered in the experimental work of Hutchinson et al. [9] for comparison purposes. In order to simplify the simulations, homogeneous sources of pure 235U were chosen, while the content of 235U in the experimental sources was of 99.7%.

Because 235U has many α-emissions, each α-particle group was split into several sets with a number of simulated particles proportional to the corresponding emission probabilities. Only the 235U alpha lines with emission probabilities greater than 0.5% were simulated, which were taken from the LNHB database [19]. The deposit and backing surfaces were considered to be flat, so that surface effects due to irregularities were not included in the simulation. The initial directions were randomly distributed over a solid angle of 4π sr, so that the particle tracks were followed into both source and backing. Each α-particle was followed until it was finally emitted from the source into the 2π chamber or when its energy was totally lost in the UO2 substrate or platinum backing. The main characteristics of the particle at each step of the track, as energy, direction and position, are available, and the total track can be depicted.

Results and discussion

Application to thin and thick sources

Source thicknesses from 1 to 40 mg/cm² were studied, in order to include thin and thick sources. Figure 2 shows the obtained α-particle spectra for sources with some very different substrate thicknesses. It is evident the increasing overlap of the 235U α-emissions and the greater tails in the low-energy zone when the source thickness is increased, as a consequence of the loss of energy of the α-particles in the source deposit (self-absorption). In each spectrum, there is a ‘maximum’ at the low-energy region where the α-particle energy is near to zero. This fact was already analyzed by us in a previous study [13] and is due to the backscattered particles that have undergone a very large number of weak collisions with the atomic electrons in the source substrate (multiple scattering).

For each simulation, the detection efficiency was determined by the ratio between the number of detected particles (leaving upwards the source into a 2π sr solid angle) and the initial number of simulated particles. Changing the random number seeds, we obtained different results for estimating the uncertainty related to each efficiency value. Each source with a given thickness was simulated three times, each including 9 × 10⁴ α-particles, with a mean calculation time of about 2 min. The corresponding efficiency values, jointly with their uncertainties, are depicted in Fig. 3.

A simple theoretical model can be used to describe the dependence of the detection efficiency respect to the source thickness [4, 5], by assuming straight lines for the tracks of α-particles into the substrate and backing, that is, when the scattering is not considered. Following this model, a linear dependence on source thickness is obtained for thin samples, while for thick samples, the dependence on thickness is described by a power law, which is simplified to a hyperbolic behavior if the source
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**Fig. 1.** Scheme of the simulation performed in this work for the 235UO2 α-particle sources.
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**Fig. 2.** α-Particle spectra obtained for sources of 235UO2 with different source thicknesses. Spectra are plotted with a 10 keV energy interval.
Fig. 3. Values of efficiency obtained for thin and thick $\alpha$-particle sources of $^{235}$UO$_2$ vs. the source thickness. The uncertainties shown correspond to one standard deviation. Lines represent the fittings of each curve to the expressions (1) and (2) for the regions of thin and thick sources, respectively.

The uncertainties shown correspond to one standard deviation. Thin and thick sources are separated with a dashed line. The relative deviations with respect to the fits to expressions (1) and (2) are also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source thickness [mg/cm$^2$]</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Deviation [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4855 ± 0.0024</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4574 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4344 ± 0.0023</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4110 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3858 ± 0.0029</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3613 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3551 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3111 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2874 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2634 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2399 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.2038 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1765 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1514 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1069 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0883 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0661 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applications of the new Monte Carlo code AlfaMC to the calibration of alpha-particle sources

**Application to very thin sources**

In order to study the efficiency behavior for the cases of very thin deposits, $^{235}$UO$_2$ homogeneous sources with thicknesses in the range from 0 to
1 mg/cm² were simulated. In addition, in this range, our simulated efficiencies can be compared with the available experimental values of efficiency obtained by Hutchinson et al. [9]. Figure 4 shows the efficiency results derived from these new simulations, together with the straight line from the fit carried out before in Fig. 3. As before, each value corresponds to the mean of three simulations, each including different random number seeds and 9 × 10⁴ initial α particles. It is clearly evidenced that the linear behavior established in the theoretical model is totally inadequate for accounting the efficiency behavior in the region of smaller thicknesses. Therefore, for sources in this thickness range, the application of Monte Carlo procedures is specially required in the calibration of α-particle sources to include properly the scattering effects in the substrate and backing of the source. It must be noted that, in this range of very thin sources, many of the backscattered particles in the platinum backing go out towards the detection chamber, so that the contribution of the backscattering in the backing on the detection efficiency is significant for sources with very thin thicknesses. This can be seen in Table 2, which shows the percentage of α particles backscattered in the platinum backing that are detected with respect to the total detected backscattered particles.

In order to compare our efficiency results with that obtained experimentally by Hutchinson et al. [9], a zero-energy extrapolation must be performed for each corresponding simulated spectrum. The reason for it is the fact that in experimental measurements, only the pulses above a given energy cut-off are considered because the α particles in the low-energy zone of the pulse-height distribution cannot be distinguished from pulses produced by recoiling daughters and by electronic noise. Then, in order to estimate the total 2π counting rate up to zero energy, an extrapolation to zero energy is usually performed in the experimental spectrum. These ‘extrapolated values’ for the efficiency are shown in Fig. 5, together with the experimental efficiencies given in the work of Hutchinson et al. [9]. The ‘extrapolated values’ are somewhat smaller than the ‘total values’ for the efficiency, as a consequence of the ‘maximum’ found at the low-energy region of the simulated spectra (see Fig. 2). In addition, the simulated efficiencies (extrapolated to zero energy) seem to follow, in general, a similar trend to the experimental values. The agreement is satisfactory taking into consideration the experimental uncertainties.

### Conclusions

In this work, a study has been carried out in order to check the applicability of the code AlfaMC to the tasks of primary calibration of α-particle sources using 2π detectors. The values of the detection efficiency (counting rate/activity) were derived by simulation for homogeneous sources of 235UO₂ over a wide range of thicknesses (from 0 to 40 mg/cm²) mounted on a platinum backing. In general, the dependence of the efficiency with source thickness was in good agreement with a simple model considering a linear and a hyperbolic behavior for thin and thick sources, respectively. However, significant deviations from this simplified model were found in this range, indicating the need for more sophisticated models to accurately describe the efficiency behavior in the region of very thin sources.
for very thin sources, where the scattering effects in the substrate and in the backing have an important contribution to the detection efficiency. For these very thin sources, the Monte Carlo simulation has revealed as a required method in the primary calibration of α-particle sources. The efficiency results obtained by simulation with AlfaMC for the thickness range used in the work by Hutchinson et al. [9] were in agreement with the experimental efficiency data.

This work shows that the code AlfaMC can be used as a simple and rapid procedure to determine jointly the corrections for scattering and self-absorption required in the measurement of α-particle sources.
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